



Australian Psychology Accreditation Council (APAC)

# Accreditation Assessment Summary Report University of Technology Sydney

Last updated: 3 April 2025



## Contents

Context .....	3
Accreditation team.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Assessment timeline.....	4
Findings .....	5
Accreditation status .....	5
Re-accredited.....	5
Summary of findings .....	6
Conditions.....	7
Monitoring.....	8
Closed conditions .....	9
Closed monitoring requirements .....	9
Recommendations.....	11
Commendations.....	11



## University Technology Sydney – 2026 to 2030 Cycle

### Context

<b>Higher education provider</b>	University Technology Sydney (UTS)
<b>Academic organisational unit (AOU)</b>	Discipline of Clinical Psychology
<b>Campus</b>	City Campus, Online
<b>Assessment type</b>	Cycle re-accreditation
<b>Accreditation period</b>	From 1 January 2026 to 31 December 2030
<b>Accreditation standard</b>	<a href="#">Accreditation standards for psychology programs (effective 1 January 2019, version 1.2)</a>



## Assessment timeline

Dates	Assessment activities
27/02/2023	Provider attends information session with APAC team
24/05/2023	Provider submits NOIA
20/05/2024	Provider submits accreditation documentation to APAC
21/05/2024 – 29/07/2024	APAC conducts on-paper assessment
2/07/2024	APAC assessment team meeting 1 (align on-paper assessment)
19/07/2024	Provider submits further information
30/07/2024	APAC assessment team meeting 2 (site-visit preparation)
20/08/2024 – 22/08/2024	Provider hosts site visit
04/10/2024	APAC CEO grants extension to the accreditation period for twelve months and UTS' suite of psychology programs remain accredited until 31/12/2025
28/10/2024	Accreditation Assessment Committee (AAC) endorses draft assessment report
20/11/2024	Provider receives draft assessment report
04/12/2024	Provider submits rejoinder to draft assessment report
10/02/2025	AAC determines assessment outcomes



## Findings

APAC thanks UTS' discipline leads, academic and professional staff, supervisors, graduates and students for their cooperation.

## Accreditation status

### Re-accredited

The following programs are re-accredited until **31 December 2030**:

Program title	Campus	Level	Sequence	Program status
Bachelor of Psychology	City campus	Level 1	1-3 year	Accredited with conditions
Bachelor of Psychology/Bachelor of Criminology	City campus	Level 1	1-3 year	Accredited with conditions
Graduate Diploma in Psychology	Online	Level 1	3 year bridging	Accredited without conditions
Bachelor of Psychology (Honours)	City campus	Level 1-2	1-4 year	Accredited with conditions
Bachelor of Psychological Science (Honours)	City campus	Level 2	4 <sup>th</sup> year	Accredited with conditions
Graduate Diploma in Psychology (Advanced)	Online	Level 2	4 <sup>th</sup> year	Accredited without conditions
Master of Clinical Psychology	City campus	Level 3-4	5-6 year	Accredited without conditions



## Summary of findings

<b>Standard 1: Public safety is assured</b>			
<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 1-2</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3-4 Clinical</b>
✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Standard 2: Academic governance and quality assurance processes are effective</b>			
<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 1-2</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3-4 Clinical</b>
Substantially met	Substantially met	Substantially met	✓
<b>Standard 3: Program of study, design, delivery and resourcing enable students to achieve the required graduate competencies</b>			
<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 1-2</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3-4 Clinical</b>
✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Standard 4: Students are provided with equitable and timely access to information and support</b>			
<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 1-2</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3-4 Clinical</b>
✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Standard 5: Assessment is fair, reliable and valid</b>			
<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 1-2</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3-4 Clinical</b>
✓	✓	✓	✓



## Conditions

Further evidence is required to demonstrate the Accreditation Standards are met.

Program levels	Criteria	Issues identified	Information required	Due dates
Level 1 UG Level 1 component of Level 1-2	2.2	External benchmarking	Evidence of systems and processes to undertake external benchmarking exercises for quality improvement purposes. Evidence should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus areas for quality improvements and external input</li> <li>• Systematic plan with associated activities and timeframes for internal and external benchmarking</li> <li>• Benchmarking partners.</li> </ul>	30/04/2026
Level 2 component of Level 1-2 Level 2 Honours	2.2	External benchmarking	Evidence of systems and processes to undertake external benchmarking exercises for quality improvement purposes. Evidence should include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Focus areas for quality improvements and external input</li> <li>• Systematic plan with associated activities and timeframes for internal and external benchmarking</li> <li>• Benchmarking partners.</li> </ul>	30/04/2028



## Monitoring

Monitoring is required to ensure the Accreditation Standards continue to be met.

Program levels	Criteria	Issues identified	Information required	Due dates
Level 2 GDPA	2.2	External benchmarking	<p>Evidence of how the benchmarking plan is being implemented.</p> <p>Evidence may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Benchmarking plan</li> <li>• Benchmarking report(s)</li> <li>• Minutes of meetings where internal/external benchmarking recommendations/outcomes were considered</li> <li>• Proposed updates and/or improvements resulting from benchmarking activities.</li> </ul>	30/04/2025
Level 1 UG Level 1 component of Level 1-2	3.2, 3.8, 5.1, 5.2	Graduate competencies, including cultural responsiveness, and assessments	A range of samples of completed student assessments covering all APAC graduate competencies, including the corresponding marking criteria and examples of assessment feedback.	30/04/2025 30/04/2026 30/04/2027
Level 2 component of Level 1-2 Level 2 Honours	3.2, 3.7, 3.8, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3	Graduate competencies, including cultural responsiveness, and assessments	A range of samples of completed student assessments covering all APAC graduate competencies, including the corresponding marking criteria and examples of assessment feedback.	31/07/2027 (S1) 14/01/2028 (S2)





## Closed conditions

Evidence confirms that the following conditions have been met:

Program levels	Criteria	Issues identified	Information required	Outcome
Level 1 GDP Level 2 GDPA	2.3	External and internal input into the design and management of the programs	Provide evidence demonstrating that quality assurance and consultation processes have been adopted.	Met
Level 1 GDP Level 2 GDPA	5.4	Assessment	Provide a range of samples of de-identified student assessments, including the feedback provided on assessments, to demonstrate that feedback is appropriate to support the achievement of the graduate competencies.	Met

## Closed monitoring requirements

Evidence confirms that the following monitoring requirements have been met:

Program levels	Criteria	Issues identified	Information required	Outcome
Level 1 UG Level 1 component of Level 1-2	5.3, 5.4	Assessment tools and moderation practices	Provide evidence demonstrating that the learning outcomes in each program are appropriately assessed (related to Standard 3 Program of study, criterion 3.2). This includes evidence that details: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• criterion-based assessment tasks, and</li> <li>• moderation practices in use.</li> </ul>	Met



Program levels	Criteria	Issues identified	Information required	Outcome
Level 2 component of Level 1-2 Level 2 Honours	5.4	Moderation practices	Provide evidence demonstrating that the learning outcomes in each program are appropriately assessed (related to Standard 3 Program of study, criterion 3.2).  This includes evidence that details moderation practices.	Met



## Recommendations

The assessment team offers the following recommendations for continuous improvement.

Considering the evidence provided at the site visit, UTS may wish to:

- consider ways to include student representation on formal decision-making committees (2.3).
- explore opportunities to enable students in the Level 3-4 Clinical program to enrol on a part-time basis (4.6).

## Commendations

The assessment team commends UTS on the following:

- the use of strong, purpose-built online learning platforms that provide flexible and positive online learning experiences, particularly for the Level 1 GDP and Level 2 GDPA programs (3.5)
- providing students with authentic, meaningful and well-integrated learning and assessment experiences in cultural responsiveness (3.8, 5.1 and 5.2)  
providing students in the Level 3-4 Clinical program with access to quality education and training opportunities that prepares them well for Clinical placements (3.7).